

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 36-42 are requested to be cancelled.

Claims 24-32, 35, 43, 45-47 and 53 are currently being amended.

Claim 60 is being added.

This amendment adds, changes and/or deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 24-35 and 43-60 are now pending in this application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for an indication of allowable subject matter with respect to claims 27-42 and 47-59 and respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the reasons that follow.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

The Examiner rejected claims 43-46 over U.S. Patent Application number 20010032254 to Hawkins (hereinafter “Hawkins”) in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,870,770 to Wolfe (hereinafter “Wolfe”). Applicant respectfully disagrees and traverses these rejections for the following reasons.

The present application provides for facilitating web browsing through usage of a terminal while a terminal is not connected to a network. This is possible due to the information from the already downloaded content being made available. The content is fetched from the server in upon the initial request along with the linked content, simultaneously. Specifically, the embodiments recited within the aforementioned rejections

disclose “a ...processor...operable to generate and make a request and to retrieve first content from the server simultaneously with further content linked to the first content.” (Claim 43). This limitation is lacking in both prior arts referenced by the Examiner.

Contrary to the Examiner’s assertion, Wolfe does not disclose a processor (or browser) operable to retrieve a first content simultaneously with further content which is linked to the first content. Wolfe clearly provides that the first and second documents are retrieved separately. In particular, Wolfe describes:

“(a) retrieving a first document over a network; (b) displaying the first document in a document display window on a display screen, wherein... (c) simultaneously displaying in a second window on the display screen representation of a plurality of related documents that are relevant to the subject matter of the first document that is displayed in the document display window, wherein... (d) retrieving a second document over the network; (e) displaying the second document in the document display window by replacing the first document in the document display window with the second document, wherein...” (Wolfe, Col. 3, Lines 44-61).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would not consider modifying Hawkins by retrieving the first and second documents simultaneously nor by displaying two documents simultaneously for the foreseeable reason that the display is too small. (See Hawkins, Figure 3). As well, as noted by the Examiner, Hawkins fails to provided these limitations as explained on Page 3 of the Office Action. Therefore, these claims are patentable and Applicant respectfully requests these rejections to be withdrawn.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by the credit card payment instructions in EFS-Web being incorrect or absent, resulting in a rejected or incorrect credit card transaction, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid

amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 23, 2008

By /G. Peter Albert, Jr./

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 30542
Telephone: (858) 847-6735
Facsimile: (858) 792-6773

G. Peter Albert Jr.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 37,268